

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Bay 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.napo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/940,072	08/27/2001		Hong Yang	H0498/7155	3068
23628	7590	02/25/2004		EXAM	INER
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA				FIORILLA, CHRISTOPHER A	
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210-2211				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, N	1A 02210-22	11		1731	

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Anti-	09/940,072	YANG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher A. Fiorilla	1731
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIC Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CP after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply secutified above, it sees than thirty (30) days, a. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n earned patent team adjustment See 37 CFR 1,704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	5 December 2003	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is non-final.	
 Since this application is in condition for allo 	wance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)	drawn from consideration. s/are rejected.	
pplication Papers	are oreston requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		. V II
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	and the second second	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	rins have been received in App	lication No.
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	ceived in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not red	ceived.
	·	
tachment(s)	_	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ali Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
apor notarivali Date	6)	

Application/Control Number: 09/940,072

Art Unit: 1731

1. Claims 1-4,6,7,9-34,37,38,50,52,53,54,55,56,57 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a process which utilizes an elastomeric mold (e.g. page 5, line 27; page 7, line 3; page 7, line 18), does not reasonably provide enablement for the process as generically claimed. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

More specifically, the specification does not teach the use of molds other than elastomeric molds (e.g. metal molds), thus claims which are not limited to elastomeric molds are not enabled by the specification.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1,2,3,4,6,7,9,18,19,21,22,25,26,32,33 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fain et al. (5,340,515).

Fain et al. discloses a method comprising:

providing a mold having at least one component with at least one dimension less than 100 μ m (see e.g. col. 3, lines ;

filling the mold with a ceramic precursor; and

heating the ceramic precursor under an inert atmosphere to produce a ceramic structure. Note that a moisture containing atmosphere would not be inert because the mold is made from carbon which would react with moisture. Further, note Fain et al. discloses a nonoxydizing atmosphere (e.g. col. 4, line 31). A moisture containing atmosphere is oxidizing.

Further note the disclosure of Fain et al. with respect to the following claims: Claims 2,4 and 6:

Application/Control Number: 09/940,072

Art Unit: 1731

Fain et al. also discloses a ceramic precursor comprising at least three different elements (e.g. col. 3, lines 66-68).

Claim 3:

Fain et al. discloses that the precursor comprises aluminum or silicon (col. 2, line 62) and carbon (col. 4, line 7).

Claim 7:

Fain et al. discloses that the step of heating is performed under an inert atmosphere (e.g. col. 3, line 10).

Claim 9:

Fain et al. discloses modifying the viscosity to fill the mold (e.g. col. 3, lines 1-3). *Claim 18:*

-Fain et al. discloses filling the mold by capillary action (e.g. col. 3, line 5).

Claims 19,21,22,:

Fain et al. discloses curing the ceramic precursors in the mold (e.g. col. 4, line 61). Claim 25 and 26:

Fain et al. discloses removing the mold from the product by e.g. physically removing it (e.g. col. 3, lines 21-24).

Claim 32:

Fain et al. discloses a single precursor. Note that the term single precursor may include various components to the precursor.

Claim 33:

Fain et al. discloses that the precursor comprises a polymer (e.g. col. 4, lines 6-8).

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,072

Art Unit: 1731

5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Furlani et al. (5,893,206) in view of Stephens (5,219,925).

Furlani et al. discloses a method comprising:

providing a mold; and

filling the mold with ceramic precursor.

Furlani et al. does not disclose a step of silanizing the mold.

Stephens discloses a composition for silanizing mold surfaces into order to impart mold release properties thereto. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use this mold release material on the mold disclosed in Furlani et al. to optimize the molding process. Note that Furlani et al. discloses that the molding material contains a water soluble binder (col. 5, line 7). Water soluble binders include the polymers disclosed in Stephens (col. 1, lines 54-60) as being useful with the mold release material.

- 7. Claims 35 and 36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claim 59 is allowed

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Fiorilla whose telephone number is (571) 272-1187. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher A. Fiorilla Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

caf